

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

CRAIG CARPENITO
United States Attorney
EAMONN O'HAGAN
Assistant U.S. Attorney
970 Broad Street, Suite 700
Newark, NJ 07102
Tel: (973) 645-2874

Attorneys for the United States of America

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

In re

GREGORY STEVEN TILLMAN,

Debtor.

Chapter 13

Case No. 19-28709-ABA

Judge Andrew B. Altenburg, Jr.

Hearing Date: Sept. 9, 2020

Hearing Time: 9:00 a.m.

**OBJECTION OF INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE TO CONFIRMATION
OF DEBTOR'S MODIFIED CHAPTER 13 PLAN**

**ATTENTION DEBTOR'S COUNSEL:
FOR THE REASONS STATED HEREIN, THE PROPOSED CHAPTER 13 PLAN
IS FACIALLY UNCONFIRMABLE. TO THE EXTENT NO WRITTEN
RESPONSE TO THIS OBJECTION IS FILED AND NO ADJOURNMENT IS
OBTAINED, COUNSEL FOR THE UNITED STATES WILL NOT BE
APPEARING AT THE SCHEDULED HEARING ON THIS MATTER AND WILL
INSTEAD RELY ON THIS WRITTEN OBJECTION.**

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, on behalf of the Internal Revenue Service (the "Service"), submits the following objection to confirmation of the above-captioned debtor's Modified Chapter 13 Plan (the "Plan") [ECF Doc No. 69]. In support thereof, the Service respectfully represents as follows:

RELEVANT BACKGROUND

1. Gregory S. Tillman (the “Debtor”) filed a voluntary petition under chapter 13 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) on October 1, 2019 (the “Petition Date”) [ECF Doc. No. 1].

2. The Debtor has unpaid federal tax liabilities for numerous tax years. *See* Claim No. 21. Prior to the Petition Date, the Service filed Notices of Federal Tax Lien (the “Tax Liens”) against the Debtor. *Id.* Pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 6321, the Tax Liens encumbers all of the Debtor’s “property and rights to property, whether real ***or personal***.” 26 U.S.C. § 6321 (emphasis added).

3. The Service filed a proof of claim against the Debtor in the amount of \$175,661.13 (the “Service Claim”), consisting of (i) a secured claim under 11 U.S.C. § 506(a), in the amount of \$26,325.00 (the “Secured Claim”), which amount is secured by the value of the Debtor’s scheduled ***personal*** property¹; (ii) an unsecured priority claim pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(8) in the amount of \$56,022.50 (the “Priority Claim”); and (iii) an unsecured non-priority claim of \$93,313.63. *See* Claim No. 21.

4. For the reasons described below, the Plan is not confirmable.

¹ *See* Debtor’s Schedule A/B: Property [ECF Doc. No. 20].

OBJECTION

A. The Plan is Not Confirmable with Respect to the Service's Secured Claim

5. With respect to the Secured Claim, the Plan fails to comply with the provisions of Bankruptcy Code section 1325(a)(5), which requires, *inter alia*, that a Chapter 13 Plan provide for the retention of the secured creditor's liens and full payment, plus post-confirmation interest. Here, the Plan provides for no payment of the Secured Claim. *See* Plan, Part 4. This defect renders the Plan unconfirmable.

6. In addition to failing to provide for full payment, the Plan is not confirmable with respect to the Secured Claim for the following reasons:

- a. It fails to provide that the Service will retain its liens against the property of the Debtor² for the time periods required by § 1325(a)(5)(B)(i)(I)-(II).
- b. It fails to calculate (and provide payment for) post-confirmation interest on the Secured Claim. *Id.* § 1325(a)(5)(B)(ii); *In re Princeton*

² It bears noting that property claimed as exempt by the Debtor under Bankruptcy Code Section 522 does not impact that allowed amount of the Secured Claim. 11 U.S.C. § 522(c)(2)(B) (mandating that "property exempted under this section is not liable during or after the case for any debt of the debtor . . . except . . . a debt secured by a lien that is . . . a tax lien, notice of which was properly filed." (emphasis added)); *Paratore v. IRS (In re Paratore)*, 1995 Bankr. LEXIS 508, at *9 (Bankr. D.N.J. 1995) ("It is clear from § 522(c)(2)(B), that Congress did not intend that any bankruptcy debtor could exempt any property from a perfected tax lien."); *see also Downey v. Georgia (In re Downey)*, 2006 Bankr. LEXIS 2737, at *4 (Bankr. N.D. Ga. Sept. 27, 2006) ("The Debtors' estate has an interest in the motor vehicles and personal property, and the Debtor cannot exempt those assets from a tax lien, notice of which is properly filed . . . If the tax lien was properly filed, then, the State has a secured claim to the extent of the value of the Debtors' assets.") (internal quotation marks omitted). As noted above, the Plan's failure to pay the full amount of the Secured Claim renders the Plan unconfirmable.

Office Park, L.P., 423 B.R. 795, 806 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2010) (recognizing that section 1325(a)(5)(B)(ii) requires payment of an appropriate rate of post-confirmation interest on secured claims).

- i. The interest rate established by 26 U.S.C. § 6621 and the regulations thereunder (3%), applies here. 11 U.S.C. § 511(a)-(b). Thus, the Plan must calculate (and provide for payment of) post-confirmation interest on the Service's Secured Claim over the 60-month Plan period.

B. The Plan is Not Confirmable With Respect to the Service's Priority Claim

7. With respect to claims entitled to priority under Bankruptcy Code section 507(a)(8), a chapter 13 plan must "provide for the full repayment, in deferred cash payments . . . unless the holder of a particular claim agrees to a different treatment of such claim." 11 U.S.C. § 1322(a)(2). Here, the Plan provides for less than full payment of the Priority Claim as currently estimated. Plan, Part 3. On this basis, the Plan cannot be confirmed under Bankruptcy Code section 1322(a)(2).

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, the Service requests that the Court (i) deny confirmation of the Plan until such time as the above-referenced defects in the Plan are cured; and (ii) grant any other and further relief that the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: August 24, 2020

CRAIG CARPENITO
United States Attorney

/s/ Eamonn O'Hagan

EAMONN O'HAGAN
Assistant U.S. Attorney

*Attorneys for the
United States of America*